Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/587,500	NODA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KELLY STOUFFER	1792
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>KELLY STOUFFER</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Samuel Dangremond</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 April 2009	Time: <u>n/a</u>	
Type of Interview: □ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes □ Yes, provide a brief description: □ No	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 17		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Claim 17 was drawn to a nonelected invention with traverse. T examiner's amendment		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Frederick J. Parker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)